Thomas Hinsley Astbury: from an English market town schoolroom to the internal constitution of the stars

Jeremy Shears

T. H. Astbury (1858–1922) was for many years the much-respected headmaster of a boys’ junior school in the English market town of Wallingford. By night he was a dedicated amateur astronomer who enjoyed observing meteors, variable stars and many other objects. He began to search for new variable stars, his first discovery being the bright Cepheid variable RT Aurigae. This, along with his discovery of four other variable stars, brought him to the attention of some of the most famous professional astronomers of the age, including Herbert Hall Turner, Frank Dyson and Arthur Eddington.

Introduction

One afternoon in 2011 November, whilst researching another topic in the Royal Astronomical Society library, I was perusing the 1923 May edition of the BAA Journal when I came across the following notice:

‘PROPOSED MEMORIAL TO THE LATE T. H. ASTBURY’
The late Mr. T. H. Astbury was a hard-working schoolmaster, who, while paying full attention to his ordinary duties, and acting as organist on Sundays, devoted time and skill to the discovery of variable stars. He was formerly an active member of our Variable Star Section, and with only a binocular and a three-inch telescope has several such discoveries to his credit, among them one of considerable importance, as the subjoined note by Prof. Eddington makes clear. It has occurred to some of us that it would be in every way appropriate if a small brass could be erected to his memory on the walls of the school where he used to teach, viz., the Council School at Wallingford, ‘where he rendered good and faithful service from the time of its opening until his retirement’: these last words are quoted from a letter by the Secretary of the Berkshire Education Committee in signifying his entire approval of the project.

‘The estimate from a local firm suggests that the amount required would be about £10, and it is hoped that the number of those who would sympathise with such a scheme may be sufficient to render it unnecessary for any one of them to give more than this.’

The notice was placed by Prof A. S. Eddington, Prof H. H. Turner, Col. E. E. Markwick and Mr A. N. Brown. I was surprised by two aspects of the notice. Firstly, that I had never heard of Thomas Hinsley Astbury, in spite of being an active variable star observer who also has an interest in the history of the BAA Variable Star Section (VSS). The second surprise was that two of the most famous professional astronomers of the day had apparently lent their weight to an appeal to memorialise a schoolteacher and amateur astronomer.

Arthur Stanley Eddington (1882–1944) had been Plumian Professor of Astronomy and Experimental Philosophy at Cambridge University since 1913. In 1914 he was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society and won its Royal Medal in 1918. Herbert Hall Turner, FRS (1861–1930) was Savilian Professor of Astronomy at Oxford. Ernest Elliot Markwick (1853–1925) had previously served as Director of the BAA VSS (1899–1909) and as BAA President (1912–1914). A. N. Brown was at the time Secretary of the BAA VSS.

So who was T. H. Astbury? And what was his contribution to variable star astronomy that had brought him to the attention of these illustrious scientists? There and then, I resolved to find out more about Astbury, his life and his contribution to variable star observing, with the aim of bringing this long forgotten amateur astronomer to the attention of current members of the Association. The present paper is the result of this research.

The Wallingford schoolmaster

Thomas Hinsley Astbury (1858–1922; Figure 1) was born at Shifnal in east Shropshire. His father, Joseph Astbury, was an Iron Works Foreman, this region being a centre of England’s burgeoning iron industry. His mother, Hannah Hinsley, from whom Thomas obtained his middle name, was a dealer in drapery. Joseph and Hannah were married in Hannah’s home town of Madeley, Shropshire. The marriage resulted in seven children and the family at various times lived in Shifnal, Wellington, also in Shropshire, and Handsworth, West Bromwich, in connection with Joseph’s employment.

Thomas became a schoolteacher by profession and from 1883 taught in the Oxfordshire market town of Wallingford (until boundary changes in 1974, Wallingford was located in the county of Berkshire). Wallingford is situated about 20 km south-east of Oxford and a similar distance north-west of Reading. In 1886 he married Emily Wallis Naish in Wallingford. They had two daughters.
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and lived at Croft Villas, Wallingford. For most of his teaching career Thomas taught at Kinecroft School, a primary school in Wallingford (Figure 2) catering for both girls and boys and where he became headmaster. A photograph of Astbury with some of the other schoolteachers is shown in Figure 3.

However, in the first decade of the 1900s it was recognised that the school was outgrowing its premises and plans were soon drawn up for a new school for Junior boys. Thus the Wallingford Council Boys School (Figures 4 and 5) was opened on 1910 April 4, with Astbury as headmaster. The school was built by local company Messrs Boshers, Sons & Co for the sum of £2256 15s 3d and comprised a hall surrounded by 6 classrooms.

As with many new buildings, it was not without its teething problems, which Astbury had to deal with, noting for example that ‘the flushing apparatus at the boys’ offices still does not act and the place is getting very offensive again’. There was also a damp wall and several draughty window frames, not to mention one or two leaks. A further building was added in 1912 which comprised a Cooking and Manual Centre. This was used by the boys for woodwork classes for half the week (Figure 6) and by girls from another school in the town for cookery lessons during the other half.

Astbury remained justifiably proud of the new Wallingford Council School for Boys that he had established. An inspection in 1911 March was complimentary about the quality of the education, with some improvements suggested:

‘The boys are in excellent discipline, a pleasant tone prevails, the work is carried on with great care, and there are many meritorious points in the school... As a rule the fundamental subjects are effectively taught, but in the reading lessons greater stress should be laid on subject matter and the writing of the highest class should be better’.

The Punishment Book for 1910 makes an interesting read. Misdemeanours recorded included: ‘laughing in class’ (1 stripe of the ruler or cane), ‘writing filthy words’ (2 stripes), ‘letting down bicycle tyres’ (2 stripes), ‘throwing milk on a
boy’s book’ (3 stripes) and ‘running home from school on Wednesday afternoon and Thursday morning and rudeness and insubordination’ (4 stripes).

A little over four years after the school opened, the First World War began and classes became increasingly disrupted by the absence of teachers on active service. Desperate times called for War began and classes became increasingly disrupted by the absence of teachers on active service. Desperate times called for War began and classes became increasingly disrupted by the absence of teachers on active service. Desperate times called for War began and classes became increasingly disrupted by the absence of teachers on active service. Desperate times called for War began and classes became increasingly disrupted by the absence of teachers on active service.

Desperate times called for War began and classes became increasingly disrupted by the absence of teachers on active service.

Astbury and the BAA

Quite when Astbury’s interest in astronomy began is not recorded, but by 1898 he was evidently sufficiently interested in the subject to be elected as a member of the BAA on February 23, having been proposed by Miss Elizabeth Brown (1830–1899) and seconded by E. Bruce Mackay. Brown was a well-known figure during the first decade of the fledgling BAA, having been involved in establishing the Association in 1890. She was an active solar observer and was immediately appointed as Director of the Solar Section, having held a similar position in the Liverpool Astronomical Society. Apart from solar work, she was also active in the Variable Star, Lunar and Star Colour sections of the Association.

Shortly after Brown’s death on 1899 March 5, Astbury wrote a letter to the English Mechanic putting on record that ‘he wished to express his sincere regret for the loss sustained by her death. He would always consider it one of his greatest privileges to have been associated in some degree with her work’. Drawings by Astbury of the Great Sunspot Group of 1898 September which he submitted to the BAA Solar Section are shown in Figure 7.

Astbury was active in the Meteor Section until around 1905, when the Section essentially collapsed, but even after that he continued to send observations to Denning who particularly valued their accuracy. In some cases, Denning was able to combine Astbury’s data on a particular meteor with those of other observers and thus calculate its trajectory through the atmosphere by triangulation. Other astronomical objects and phenomena that Astbury observed included the Sun, as mentioned previously, the zodiacal light, aurorae and comets, including Halley’s Comet in 1910.

He also observed the occultation of Saturn by the Moon on 1900 September 3 using his Wray refractor ×80. Just before the occultation he observed an unusual ‘prominence’ or protuberance near the rings, the origin of which he could not explain. Four years later, and still perplexed by his observation, he wrote a further letter to the Journal, wondering if a thin lunar atmosphere might have caused differential refraction as Saturn approached the limb. Of course we now know that the Moon’s atmosphere is so tenuous that it could not cause such effects. What he saw, whether real or illusory, remains a mystery.

Apart from his meteor and solar work, Astbury’s other observations were generally more of a casual nature, rather
than being part of a sustained programme of study. However, it was of course the variable stars that eventually captured his imagination and after 1905 this branch of astronomy essentially dominated his observational work, resulting in his projection to fame as a discoverer of several new variables. Thus it is to his variable star observations that we shall now turn our attention.

Variable star observing and the discovery of RT Aurigae

Astbury might have begun making variable star observations in earnest with the appearance of Nova Persei in 1901 February, as his observations of this object are the earliest that can be traced in the published record.\(^3\) He continued to report estimates of the nova's brightness to the BAA VSS until at least 1904 March, by which time the star had faded to about magnitude 10 (Figure 8).

After the initial excitement and the associated deluge of observations following the appearance of the nova, only three observers continued to report estimates to VSS Director Col. E. E. Markwick during 1903 and 1904:\(^3\) Astbury, Markwick and Charles Lewis Brook (1855–1939; Figure 9).\(^3\) Sadly, it is still the case today that most people tend to lose interest and stop observing novae after the initial fade.

According to Markwick, Astbury joined the VSS in about 1903, although he had clearly been submitting observations of Nova Persei to him before that. He made observations of a range of variables and some are included in the VSS Memoirs covering the intervals 1900–1904 and 1905–1909.\(^2\) By contrast the subsequent Memoir covering the years 1910 to 1914 contains no observations by him.\(^3\) Rather than making routine estimates of known variables, as we shall soon see, Astbury turned to seeking out new such stars.

The VSS was formed in 1890 and is today the longest-established organisation for the observation of variable stars in the world. The first Director, Markwick’s predecessor, was John Ellard Gore FRAS, MRIA (1845–1910), an Irish amateur astronomer and prolific author of popular astronomy books. In addition to collecting observations of known variable stars, Gore had increasingly focused the work of the Section on a nova search programme and observations of stars which were suspected of being variable. As John Toone points out,\(^3\) this was probably a strategic mistake as by their nature such programmes generally yield negative results (and a few false alarms in the case of the nova programme). Many variable star observers, especially new ones, like actually to see the star and detect obvious variations to maintain their interest.

By the time Markwick became Director, membership was flagging and the Section was in the doldrums. Markwick brought the organisational and motivational capabilities that he had honed during years of military service to bear on the problem. Thus he set about reinvigorating the Section, and encouraged cooperation amongst observers on a limited list of variable stars. Through his regular Section circulars and personal letters providing feedback to observers, momentum was regained and membership of the Section began to grow.

Some three years after the appearance of Nova Persei, Markwick announced his own ‘Plan for watching the Region of the Milky Way for Novae’ under the auspices of the BAA VSS.\(^3\) The Milky Way was divided into six sections and observers were assigned to these areas with the aim of examining them regularly for novae. Charts were supplied to interested members, but it appears that this project, in contrast to Markwick’s other ventures, never really took off. Nevertheless, one of the observers who did sign up was Astbury. He was allocated the constellations of Orion, Monoceros, Canis Minor, with part of Taurus and Auriga and regularly monitored the region with the naked eye, sometimes supplemented with binoculars.

It was whilst engaged in the nova search programme that Astbury discovered his first variable star. In 1905 March he suspected the fifth magnitude star 48 Aurigae of variability. In his own words, from his BAA Journal paper ‘A New Naked-eye Variable’:

‘As a member of the Variable Star Section I have been asked by Col. Markwick to watch a region of the Milky Way with the idea of detecting the possible appearance of a ‘nova’. During these watches my interest was aroused by the star 48 Aurigae..., but until March 7\(^\text{th}\) I was undecided whether the variation suspected was the result of a real change of brightness, or was due to imperfection of vision, or of the observing conditions.

‘Hitherto observations had been made entirely with the naked eye, but the use of an opera glass on the succeeding evenings confirmed my impression so strongly that I felt quite assured as to the reality of the light changes’.\(^3\)

Astbury also ‘photographed the region, allowing the stars to trail, and the results, although very amateurish, are quite sufficient of themselves to prove variability of the star’.\(^3\)

Then, as now, variable star estimates required good comparison star sequences with which to compare the star’s brightness. At the time, the most reliable sequences were those from the Harvard College Observatory prepared under the direction of Prof E. C. Pickering (1846–1919). Astbury wrote to Markwick on 1905 March 11, knowing that he had access to Harvard photometry, and received from him an appropriately annotated chart of the region by return.

In spite of being assured of the star’s variability, and having initially estimated that the period was a little more than seven days, he did not want to make an announcement quite yet, confiding to Markwick on March 23:

\[\text{Figure 8. Observations of Nova Persei 1901 made during 1903 and 1904, reported to E. E. Markwick and published in English Mechanic.}\]

\[\text{Figure 9. Charles Lewis Brook, MA, FRAS, FRMetS (1855–1939) photographed in 1909. Courtesy of Hugh Darlington.}\]
Williams plotted the data based on his assumed period of 3.8 days. Horizontal axis: Astbury's and Williams' observations between 1905 March 18 and April 12. Stanley Williams plotted the data based on his assumed period of 3.8 days with an amplitude of 0.55 magnitudes. From the estimates of the star between 1905 March 18 and April 12, finding a variability during his earlier observations, but he was not successful in confirming any to be variable. Thus after two years, in 1907, he decided to change his tactics. His new approach was based on a procedure outlined in an 1899 article in the scientific periodical Astronomische Nachrichten by J.E. Gore to determine whether any stars in a particular field were variable. Astbury described the method thus: ‘Decide upon an easily-recognised group of stars falling within the field of the binocular, and, with the aid of a lantern (preferably with a yellow shade), sketch the positions and magnitudes of the stars as accurately as possible. Now carefully number the stars in order of brightness, beginning with the brightest. In the case of two stars whose difference is considerable drop one or more numbers. For example, 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 = 9 would signify that 2 was perceptibly less than 1, 4 obviously less than 2, 7 much less than 4...’

Thus, after a time it would become evident if one of the stars had brightened or faded. Astbury commented that ‘I do not keep any record of these observations until variability is discovered, but a written record would be of the greatest service afterwards when calculating the elements of the variation’. He said that it was important to become thoroughly familiar with a particular field and only then should new fields be added: ‘Experience has proved the necessity for persistent effort.’

Over the next two years, Astbury collected further observations of his new Cepheid and prepared a revised lightcurve based on a further improvement of the comparison star sequence, and his result is shown in Figure 11.

The search for new variable stars continues

Spurred on by his discovery of RT Aur, Astbury continued his search for new variables by monitoring 30 stars which he had suspected of variability during his earlier observations, but he was not successful in confirming any to be variable. Thus after two years, in 1907, he decided to change his tactics. His new approach was based on a procedure outlined in an 1899 article in the scientific periodical Astronomische Nachrichten by J.E. Gore to determine whether any stars in a particular field were variable. Astbury described the method thus: ‘Decide upon an easily-recognised group of stars falling within the field of the binocular, and, with the aid of a lantern (preferably with a yellow shade), sketch the positions and magnitudes of the stars as accurately as possible. Now carefully number the stars in order of brightness, beginning with the brightest. In the case of two stars whose difference is considerable drop one or more numbers. For example, 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 = 9 would signify that 2 was perceptibly less than 1, 4 obviously less than 2, 7 much less than 4...’

Thus, after a time it would become evident if one of the stars had brightened or faded. Astbury commented that ‘I do not keep any record of these observations until variability is discovered, but a written record would be of the greatest service afterwards when calculating the elements of the variation’. He said that it was important to become thoroughly familiar with a particular field and only then should new fields be added: ‘Experience has proved the possibility of examining a dozen or more groups in the course of half an hour with sufficient care to detect any change’. Almost as if it were an afterthought he commented: ‘Finally, I would strongly emphasise the necessity for persistent effort.’

Fortunately Astbury’s efforts were persistent and his next discovery, an Algol-type eclipsing binary in Vulpecula, came in 1908.
During the spring and summer of that year he concentrated on a part of the Milky Way in Cygnus and Aquila and some neighbouring regions. His method was initially to inspect the area with the naked eye and then with binoculars, numbering the stars as described above, although ‘After a while the sky became so familiar that the charts were but rarely consulted’.57

Until July 25 he saw nothing unusual, but on that particular night he found that one star seemed to be unusually faint. He observed it again on July 26, 29 & 30 and August 2, but on these occasions the star appeared its normal brightness. Then on August 3 ‘the star was again faint, being one magnitude lower than on the previous evening’. Convinced that he had found a new variable he contacted Prof. H. H. Turner who reviewed Astbury’s data and then forwarded the discovery announcement to Astronomische Nachrichten on 8 August.58

The star, now known as RS Vul, was observed intensively by Astbury, Markwick and the Belgian variable star observer, Félix de Roy (1883–1942).59 Astbury analysed their combined data and reported a period of 4.4764 days and a visual brightness range of magnitude 6.9 to 8.0.60 The modern ephemeris lists the period as 4.47766 days.61

Astbury’s RS Vul discovery paper was read on his behalf by Col. E. E. Markwick at the BAA meeting held in London on 1908 November 25. Markwick pointed out to the audience that ‘the discovery was made by an amateur armed only with an opera glass, and reflected great credit on Mr Astbury for the care and discrimination was made by an amateur armed only with an opera glass, and reflected great credit on Mr Astbury for the care and discrimination shown in determining the fluctuations in light of this star’.62 Markwick had a further surprise up his sleeve for his audience, for he went on to say that news had recently reached him that Astbury had discovered a further Algol-type eclipsing binary, bringing his tally of discoveries to three. He was thus taking the opportunity of announcing this very latest discovery at the meeting, saying:

‘the third discovery reflected the greatest credit, and even lustre, on the Variable Star Section in particular, and the British Astronomical Association in general.’

The President, H. P. Hollis, BA, FRAS (1858–1939) went on to comment that ‘[he] used to think that the epithet ‘patient’ was most appropriately applied to the astronomer who searched for comets and found one in a year perhaps, but he was not sure now whether the variable star discoverer was not more patient still... He asked members to congratulate Mr Astbury, and said that he himself was pleased that the third discovery had happened in time to be announced at that Meeting’.63

The newly discovered eclipsing binary announced at the meeting is now known as Z Vul. As with the previous two discoveries, Astbury asked Prof. H. H. Turner to verify his observations, but it soon became apparent that the star in question had previously been reported as variable in 1901 by Albert S. Flint (1853–1923; Figure 12) of the Washburn Observatory, Wisconsin, USA.64 Flint had made his discovery whilst carrying out parallax measurements with the 12.2 cm meridian circle at Washburn and had actually used the star as one of his photometric standards until he found it varying.65 However, he had not determined a period. Astbury took Flint’s times of eclipse minimum, made between 1898 and 1900, and combined them with his own from 1908 and determined a period of 2.525 days.66 The following year, armed with further times of minimum determined from his own observations plus those of Flint and de Roy, he updated the period to 2.455 days and an amplitude between magnitudes 7.3 and 8.5.67 The modern value for the period is 2.45493 days.68 Thus Astbury had made an independent discovery of Z Vul and he and Flint should share the credit.

In 1911 Astbury discovered a third eclipsing binary, TV Cas. He had monitored stars in the neighbourhood of β Cas during the month of September in 1908, 1909 and 1910. Then on the evening of 1911 September 18 he found one of the stars appeared to be very faint. He therefore followed it during the rest of September and into early October, allowing him to confirm its variability.

Once again he shared his results with Prof. H. H. Turner and on October 11 announced his discovery in Astronomische Nachrichten.69 He also communicated news of his discovery to E. C. Pickering at Harvard College Observatory, who used the Observatory’s extensive archive of plates to confirm the object was variable and thus was able to provide some additional times of minima to update the ephemeris.

Astbury sent his revised time of minimum ephemeris to Paul S. Yendell (1844–1918) of Dorchester, Massachusetts, USA. Yendell, a veteran of the American Civil War, who was one of the most active American variable observers of the period, accumulating more than 30,000 observations,69 obliged by making further observations of TV Cas. Recent analysis of TV Cas’s light curve suggests that it is a semi-detached binary system in which the Roche
lode-filling secondary is ‘spotty’,70 as shown in Figure 13.

Astbury’s fifth and final confirmed variable was discovered in 1913 April, with verification being provided by Prof Turner as had become the pattern.71 The star is now known as W UMi and is another eclipsing binary. This also transpired to be an independent discovery, shared with observers at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich.72 A recent lightcurve of W UMi obtained by VSS member Des Loughney using DSLR photometry73 is shown in Figure 14. This clearly shows the deep primary and the shallow secondary eclipses in this semi-detached binary system. Astbury thought he had discovered another variable in the same field as W UMi,74 but this star, now known as NSV 7956, was soon shown not to be a variable.

In spite of Astbury’s success in identifying new variables, there were three other cases where he mistakenly identified a star as variable. He thought he had found small variations in the star later named RT Vul, during his studies on the nearby RS Vul, which were discussed above. On 1908 September 17 and again on October 27 he recorded the star about half a magnitude fainter than normal. He reported his observations in a letter to Astronomische Nachrichten on 1909 April 21, suggesting it might be an Algol-type variable.75 Subsequent observations and modern data do not support this star being variable to any significant degree.

A similar story unfolded in the case of VW Dra (reported in 1911) and NSV 13759 in Cepheus in 1910.76 Astbury found the latter star to be about magnitude 9.5.77 However, there is a further mystery in that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars78 lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5). It is rather surprising that the General Catalogue of Variable Stars lists NSV 13759 as a magnitude 12.1 star (spectral type K5).

Arthur Eddington and the Cepheid variables

As we have seen, Astbury’s first variable star discovery, RT Aur, was soon shown to be a Cepheid and it was this discovery that brought him to the attention of Prof A. S. Eddington. Eddington was interested in understanding the internal constitution of stars and in 1916 began to investigate possible physical explanations for the light variations of Cepheid variables, proposing that they were pulsating stars.81 His work on Cepheids later led him to generalise his ideas on the make-up of stars, concluding that virtually all stars behaved as ideal gases. In 1926 he published his seminal volume, The Internal Constitution of the Stars, which became one of the principal astrophysics textbooks of the age.

Although Eddington’s work on Cepheids was not founded on the study of a specific star, after Astbury’s death he did expound on his interest in RT Aur: ‘a discovery of more than ordinary interest fell to Mr Astbury. His star RT Aur belongs to the class of Cepheids; and by reason of its brightness it is one of the half-dozen or so Cepheids which can be thoroughly investigated in all aspects. It is actually the third brightest of the ordinary Cepheids [now considered to be the eighth brightest].82 Consequently it has figured conspicuously in all the studies of these stars which have furnished many surprising results; and astronomy owes a special debt to its discoverer... In my own efforts to find out the constitution of the interior of a star I have had much guidance from RT Aurigae and its colleagues’.41

Eddington’s work on Cepheid variability dealt a death blow to one of the more popular alternative explanations of the time: that they were eclipsing binary systems. In fact in 1909 one researcher at the Lick Observatory had even gone so far as to publish the orbital elements of RT Aur and another bright Cepheid, Y Sgr.83 However, even today RT Aur is still studied by astrophysicists and it continues slowly to reveal its secrets. A 2007 paper by Prof David Turner of St Mary’s University in Halifax, Canada, and his co-workers shows that its nominal 3.7 day pulsation period is actually increasing at a rate of 0.082 ± 0.012 seconds per year.84 They looked at the difference between the observed (O) and calculated (C) times of maxima very closely and found superposed on the star’s O–C variations a subtle sinusoidal trend. They could not attribute this effect to random fluctuations in the pulsation period. Rather, they suggest it arises from the

---

**Table 1. Astbury’s variable star discoveries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Prelim designation</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>RA (J2000)</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period (days)</th>
<th>Range (mag.)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT Aur</td>
<td>47.1905</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>06 28 34.09</td>
<td>+30 29 34.9</td>
<td>Cepheid</td>
<td>3.728115</td>
<td>5.82 V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV Cas</td>
<td>45.1911</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>00 19 18.74</td>
<td>+59 08 20.6</td>
<td>E/A/SD</td>
<td>1.8125936</td>
<td>7.22–8.22 V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W UMi</td>
<td>12.1913</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>16 08 27.27</td>
<td>+86 11 59.6</td>
<td>E/A/SD</td>
<td>2.454934</td>
<td>7.25–8.9 V</td>
<td>Independent discovery detected by Flint in 1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSV 7956</td>
<td>13.1913</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>16 29 23.32</td>
<td>+86 26 00.9</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary designation is from Astronomische Nachrichten. An E/A/SD star is an Algol-type eclipsing binary. CST= constant. Data from the AAVSO Variable Star Index (VSI) except for AN 39.1910 where the data are from the General Catalogue of Variable Stars.
effects of light travel time in a binary system. Their derived orbital period for the system is \( P = 26,429 \pm 89 \) days (72.36 \( \pm 0.24 \) years). So it appears that RT Aur may be a binary system after all, with one component a Cepheid variable. It is also interesting to note that the researchers used amateur observations of the star going back to 1973 in their analysis (Figure 15), once again highlighting the value of long-term amateur data contributing to the development of variable star science in particular and astrophysics in general.

It is also notable that the authors made use of recent CCD photometry obtained with typical amateur instrumentation. The importance of continued monitoring of Cepheid variables by amateur astronomers in an era when professional observations of such stars are declining\(^{85}\) is illustrated clearly by the case of RT Aur.

**The Wallingford school memorial**

As we saw earlier, Astbury retired as Headmaster of Wallingford Council School for Boys in 1920 on the grounds of ill health, having served the school community of Wallingford for 36 years. He died on 1922 September 28 aged 64, ‘his funeral being attended by representatives of education and science. Many of his old pupils can testify to the great interest Astbury took in his boys, and the kindness and help he showed them both at school and in after years’.\(^41\)

The idea of erecting a tablet in his memory originated from H. H. Turner, who as we have seen was Astbury’s mentor for many years. E. E. Markwick, who also had a long association with Astbury through the BAA VSS,\(^86\) immediately threw his weight and enthusiasm behind the project. Knowing Eddington’s interest in Cepheids, his support was also sought and readily given. A. N. Brown, VSS Secretary, collected the donations from supporters. The four then placed the notice in the BAA Journal which was cited in the Introduction, with similar appeals for support appearing in *The Observatory*\(^87\) and *English Mechanic*.\(^88\) Twenty-two subscribers supported the appeal fund.

The resulting brass memorial tablet, shown in Figure 16, was erected at Wallingford Council School for Boys and was unveiled by Sir Frank Dyson (1868–1939) on 1924 May 29. Dyson, the Astronomer Royal, had a long association with the BAA, including serving as President from 1916 to 1918. He would therefore have been familiar with Astbury’s work. Dyson gave a talk during which he paid tribute to Astbury’s variable star discoveries and explained in simple terms the pulsation theory of Cepheid variables.

Although Eddington was not able to be present in person, he sent a letter which was read on his behalf by A. N. Brown. Eddington praised Astbury’s discovery of RT Aur and described how the study of Cepheid variables, such as RT Aur, had led him to develop his ideas on the internal constitution of the stars, noting: ‘It is a real pleasure to associate myself with this tribute to one who laboured well for the advancement of astronomy. The study of variable stars, to which he devoted his leisure, is becoming more and more important, and is fundamental in much of the recent progress of our knowledge. The army of amateur observers who examine the stars night by night, as he did, to obtain the foundation-data on which so much is to be built, have little idea of achieving individual fame for themselves; they love their work, and they know that in due time progress will come from the united efforts of the whole band’.\(^41\)

H. H. Turner went on to describe how Astbury had discovered RT Aur and conveyed a message of appreciation and sympathy from the Herschel family.\(^89\) Col. Markwick also gave a speech highlighting the work of the BAA VSS and Astbury’s contribution to the field of variable star research. He warmly described the many letters they had exchanged over the years, especially around the time of Astbury’s discovery of RS Vul. A tribute was also read from Prof R. A. Sampson (1866–1939), Astronomer Royal for Scotland, who said: ‘Men like Astbury appear to me some of the best on earth. Their single-hearted love of science may very easily impress others more, and reproduce itself better than does the work of a professional’\(^41\)

---

**Figure 15.** Sample of yearly phase diagrams for RT Aur using data from the AAVSO International Database. Figure from Turner D. G. et al.\(^84\)

**Figure 16.** Memorial tablet to Astbury. There is an error in the dates during which he was headmaster; he in fact retired in 1920.
Other speakers at the ceremony honoured Astbury’s contribution to education, including Alderman H. W. Wells, Chairman of the School Managers, and Mr Anderson, Secretary to the Berkshire Education Committee.

The afternoon ended with a tea laid out in Wallingford Town Hall, hosted by the Mayor, during which one of Astbury’s daughters thanked Turner, on her mother’s behalf, for organising the tribute. Turner had ‘been a most kind and generous friend of the Astbury family’.90

Astbury’s place amongst the stars

It is sometimes thought that links between professional and amateur astronomers are a modern phenomenon.91 However, as Bob Marriott notes: ‘A century and more ago it was natural for astronomers to work together, whatever their position or status, and many professional astronomers – including H. H. Turner – were members of the BAA’.92 Thus the association between Astbury and Turner was not unique. Initially Turner was in a supporting role, verifying his observations and ensuring they were communicated properly and published in the literature, where they could be brought to the attention of other astronomers. Then as Astbury gained more experience he became confident enough to make his own announcements. Later, of course, Astbury’s discoveries, RT Aur in particular, were taken up by professionals and noticed by the likes of Eddington. It is this background of mutual respect that led some of the country’s most famous professional astronomers to erect the memorial to Astbury.

During the course of this research, I noticed that none of Astbury’s five confirmed variable star discoveries were credited to him in the AAVSO Variable Star Index (VSX). I am delighted to report that with the help of one of the VSX managers, Patrick Wils, this is in the process of being corrected. The entry for RT Aur now proudly cites the name of T. H. Astbury as discoverer (Figure 17). A fitting tribute for a long-forgotten amateur astronomer.
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